

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,813	07/14/2003 Charles C. Hays		06618-629002 / CIT 3209-C	7653
20985 75	90 07/01/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
	CA 92130-2081		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C. II. V DIEGO, V	0.1 72.00 2001		1742	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		لاهر	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/619,813	HAYS, CHARLES C
		Examiner	Art Unit
		George P. Wyszomierski	1742
Ine MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply is - Failure to reply within the control of the cont	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. To be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. Pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			·
2a) This action 3) Since this a	to communication(s) filed on 7/14/ is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This pplication is in condition for allowar cordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claim	s		
4a) Of the al 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>12</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	and 16-20 is/are pending in the approve claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. and 16-20 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10)⊠ The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: y not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by accepted in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is \square	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S	i.C. § 119		
a)□ All b)□ 1.□ Certifi 2.□ Certifi 3.□ Copie applio	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documents ed copies of the priority documents s of the certified copies of the priority documents atton from the International Bureau hed detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		•	
Notice of References Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/619,813 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,735,975).

Lin et al. discloses metallic glass alloys containing various amounts of zirconium, niobium, copper, nickel and aluminum. Referring to Table 1 of Lin, the alloy located in column 7, line 18 contains amounts of these elements which approximate those defined in the instant claim. While Lin does not disclose a composition precisely matching that claimed, one of skill in the art would expect the presently claimed composition to possess substantially the same properties and utility as alloys of Lin having approximately the same composition; see *Titanium Metals v. Banner* (227 USPQ 773). Consequently, the disclosure of Lin et al. creates a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

3. Claims 16-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,592,689.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the '689 claims and the instant claims are drawn to a method of making bulk metallic glass comprising the same elements and having the same glass transition temperature ratio, and with the ratio(s) of various elements in the metallic glasses being substantially the same in both instances. While the precise limitations of the two sets of claims

Art Unit: 1742

are not identical, a substantial overlap exists therebetween. Thus, the claimed invention cannot be said to be patentably distinct from that as defined in the claims of the '689 patent.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. The remainder of the art cited on the attached PTO-892 and 1449 forms is of interest. With respect to reference "AD" on the 1449 form, this reference has been crossed out because the patent number listed does not match the patentee's name, and that patent number does not appear to be even remotely relevant to consideration of the present claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. Effective October 1, 2003, all patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GPW June 24, 2005

GEORGE WYSZÓMIERSK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1700